MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE BOARD OF THE EARTHQUAKE COMMISSION Held at the Te Karo Room, Barry Hogan Place 9am to 3.50pm, Monday 29 March 2021

Present:	In attendance:	
Mary Jane Daly (Chair)	Sid Miller, Chief Executive Officer	
Erica Seville	Tina Mitchell, Chief Strategy Officer	
Alastair Hercus	Sharlene Taylor, Acting Chief People Officer	
Emma Dobson	Fraser Gardiner, Chief Financial Officer	
Fiona Wilson	Jaron Shaw, Chief Data Officer	
Scott Lewis	Josh Lindsay, Chief Readiness Officer	
	Pip Andrews, Head of OnSolds	
Apologies:	Kate Todd, Head of Canterbury Claims	
Nil	Privacy , Manager Office of the CE (Secretariat)	

The meeting was declared open at 9.00am

	AGENDA ITEMS & KEY DISCUSSION POINTS	ACTIONS REQUIRED	DUE DATE
Section 1	Board Governance		
Commissio	ners joined the meeting at 9.00am		
	Board Only		
Sid Miller jo	bined the meeting at 9.30am		
	Board and CE Only		
Privacy	& Tina Mitchell joined the meeting at 10.30am		
1.1	Present and Apologies The Board: a) noted there were no apologies received.		
1.2	Interests Register / Declaration of Conflicts of Interest The Board: • noted there were no updates required.		
1.3	Confirmation of Board Minutes – 3 March 2021 The Board: a) approved the Board minutes from 3 March 2021 as a true and accurate record subject to the amendments discussed.		

1.4	Matters Arising and Action Points The Board: a) noted the updates to the Action Points.	Legally privileged	June2021
1.5 Sharlene Ta	 Proactive Release of Redacted Board Minutes – February 2021 The Board: a) approved the public release of the redacted Minutes for its meetings on 3 February 2021. ylor joined the meeting at 10.40am 		
1.6	 Health, Safety, Security and Wellbeing Report – February 2021 The Board: a) inquired of management around the compilation of the Lead Indicators and in particular Site Risk Assessments b) noted there were five (5) Health, Safety and Security Incidents reported in February 2021; c) noted there were no Medical Treatment Injuries (MTI) or Lost Time Injuries (LTI) during this reporting period; d) noted the update provided in this report on progress with delivery of the HSSW Work Programme; and e) noted the update provided in this report on the EQC Covid-19 Response. 	The Board asked the Chief Executive to review the HSSW report in partnership with Cosman Parkes to ensure it fully reports on strategic risks and insights so that the Board can better understand EQC's Health, Safety, Security and Wellbeing risk profile.	June 2021
Sharlene Ta	ylor left the meeting at 10.50am	·	1
Section 2	Strategic Priorities		
2.1	 Chief Executive's Report The Board: a) noted the key focus areas outlined in the CE Report. 	Management to provide a copy of the Crown Investments Framework to the Board.	April 2021

Jaron Shaw joined the meeting at 11.35am

2.2	EQC Strategy 2021-2025 The Board:	Management to consider the Board and EQC's wider development needs in cultural	
		development needs in cultural capability, including Whakaaro	

a)	noted this strategy builds on the strategic choices the EQC Board made in 2019 and 2020 to invest in an Insurer Response Model, enhanced information systems and focused effort on Canterbury claims and On-Sold applications.	Māori, as part of stakeholder, partner and customer engagement	
b)	noted the ongoing impact of insurance market trends, Government's expectations and global trends on the strategic direction of EQC.		
c)	agreed that EQC will continue to focus its recovery efforts on resolving all Canterbury claims, including claims relating to On-Solds and Southern Response.		
d)	agreed that EQC will embed, enhance and monitor the Insurer Response Model over the next four years.		
e)	agreed that EQC will set an industry standard for readiness by leading in preparedness exercises and contingency based planning.		
f)	agreed that EQC will focus its efforts on rebuilding the Natural Disaster Fund Commercially sensitive		
g)	agreed that EQC will focus its Resilience efforts on:		
	 Influencing through thought leadership, advice and advocacy 		
	ii. Developing an information portal that enables consistency of information and data		
	iii. Considering options for directly funding or subsidising risk reduction initiatives.		
h)	agreed that EQC's enabling functions will transition their priority of effort over the next four years to support a greater emphasis on Resilience and Readiness.		
i)	noted that preliminary feedback has been received from the Office of the Auditor General on the draft Statement of Intent and Statement of Performance Expectations.		
j)	Free and frank		

	k) I)	 approved the draft Statement of Intent attached as Appendix C, for consultation with stakeholders, following the amendments discussed. approved the draft Statement of Performance Expectations attached as Appendix D, for consultation with stakeholders, following the amendments discussed. 		
	m)	agreed the Board and ARC Chairs will approve the drafts that are provided to the Minister in April 2021, following any amendments from consultation.		
Jo Horrocks,	, Josł	n Lindsay, Kate Tod, Pip Andrews and Jaron Shaw left	the meeting at 12.23pm	
2.3		0 Budget & Economic Fiscal Update Submission & nt Response Budgets		
	The	Board:		
		noted the presentation that accompanied this paper,provideing further details on the budgets for the ongoing "BAU" business, Canterbury CHE, Kaikoura CHE, On-Sold Properties and Southern Response.		
	In r	egards to the "BAU" business:		
	c)	noted that EQC is due to submit its final numbers as part of the 2021 BEFU exercise on 2 April 2021 and that this will include the latest accepted ILVR (Dec 20) and a view of "BAU" operating costs; noted that the 2022 and 2023 financial year numbers will be published as part of the 2021/22 Statement of Performance Expectations;		
		mercially sensitive		

IN CONFIDENCE NOTE: SOME CONTENTS OF THESE MINUTES ARE SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE.



	y and Jaron Shaw left the meeting at 2.45pm joined the meeting at 2.47pm		
2.7	MBIE-EQC Chief Engineer role, and EQC Conference		
	 a) endorsed the co-creation of an MBIE-EQC Chief Engineer (Resilience of the Built Environment) role on a funding basis of a 2+2-year arrangement; 		
	 endorsed the EQC conference and its key themes; and 		
	 noted that Research & Resilience will subsidise the conference from existing budget (approximately \$50,000). 		
o Horrocks	left the meeting at 3.00pm	·	1
2.6	Update on EQC National Reference Group The Board: a) noted the progress on establishing a National Reference Group;	Management to explore the possibility of more rural, geographic and ethnic represenation on the NRG	
	 b) noted the strong representation of Canterbury communities and the claimant voice on the proposed National Reference Group; 		
	 provided feedback on the proposed membership of the National Reference Group; and 		
	d) noted the Board's ratification of the proposed final membership and Chair of the National Reference Group will be requested by eVote when the selection process is complete.		
ection 3	Performance and Reporting		
3.1	EQC Performance Report to 28 February 2021 The Board: a) noted that this paper complements the EQC's Executive Dashboard to 28 February 2021 and provides qualitative commentary on performance to supplement the quantitative information shown in the dashboard.	Management to report back to the ARC on the controls in place for near misses in respect of privacy breaches.	2 July 202
	 b) noted that the EQC's Executive Dashboard to 28 February 2021 (excluding the section pertaining to 		

IN CONFIDENCE NOTE: SOME CONTENTS OF THESE MINUTES ARE SUBJECT TO LEGAL PRIVILEGE.

 pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the 	 pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and cancelled on 22 March. 	 4.1 Monthly financial pack – February 2021 The Board: a) noted the accompanying February 2021 Financial pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and cancelled on 22 March. 	 Section 4 Financial and Risk 4.1 Monthly financial pack – February 2021 The Board: a) noted the accompanying February 2021 Financial pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and cancelled on 22 March.
 pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and 	 The Board: a) noted the accompanying February 2021 Financial pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and 	 Section 4 Financial and Risk 4.1 Monthly financial pack – February 2021 The Board: a) noted the accompanying February 2021 Financial pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and 	 outlined within the paper. d) noted that the EQC's Executive Dashboard is available for review in the Diligent Resource Centre. Section 4 Financial and Risk 4.1 Monthly financial pack – February 2021 The Board: a) noted the accompanying February 2021 Financial pack; b) noted that the financial pack provides a view of the month's financial results; c) noted the following comments on the February financial performance: i. Reported Surplus is above forecast levels (\$9.3m); ii. Net premium revenues were above forecast (\$0.6m), due to stronger premiums received in month; iii. Net Claims expenses were \$0.7m below forecast, driven by lower Canterbury claims costs; iv. Net Corporate Centre costs (BAU) were below forecast (\$8.0m). This is primarily due to the delay in the transfer of assets to GeoNet (loss planned of \$7.5m), together with lower workforce and consultancy costs (\$0.5m). v. The YTD Reported Surplus (\$23.9m) is largely driven by higher premiums revenue (\$2.2m), lower underwriting costs (\$11.0m) and lower net corporate expenses (\$10.8m). vi. Cash & Investments held at 28 February were \$98m. This has increased to \$260m as at 19 March, allowing the final \$50m of the Revolving Loan Facility to be repaid and
The Board:	4.1 Monthly financial pack – February 2021	Section 4 Financial and Risk	outlined within the paper. d) noted that the EQC's Executive Dashboard is available for review in the Diligent Resource Centre. Section 4 Financial and Risk

Section 6	Correspondence of Interest	
	 Code of Conduct for Crown Entity Board Members The Board: a) noted the Code of Conduct for Crown Entity Board Members from Peter Hughes, Public Services Commissioner. 	
	ners, Sid Miller, Tina Mitchell and ^{Privacy} left the me g closed at 3.50pm	eeting at 3.50pm
	The next meeting is to be held on 30 April 2021. These minutes were approved by the Board as a true and correct record.	
	Mary Jane Daly Date Board Chair	